

Communications in Nonlinear Analysis



Publisher Research Group of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications

Some Inequalities for the Polar Derivative of a Polynomial Having $S\mbox{-}{\rm Fold}$ Zeros at the Origin

M. H. Gulzar*, B. A. Zargar, Rubia Akhter

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar 190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Abstract

Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq t < n$, it was proved by Jain[V. K. Jain, Generalization of an inequality involving maximum moduli of a polynomial and its polar derivative, Bull Math Soc Sci Math Roum Tome. 98, 6774 (2007)] that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \ge \frac{n_t}{2^t} \left[A_{\alpha_t} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \left(2^t \prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i| - A_{\alpha_t} \right) \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right]$$

where $n_t = n(n-1)...(n-t+1)$ and $A_{\alpha_t} = (|\alpha_1|-1)(|\alpha_2|-1)...(|\alpha_t|-1).$

In this paper, we generalize this and some other results.

Keywords: s-fold zeros, Polar Derivative, Inequalities, maximum modulus. 2010 MSC: 30A10, 30C10, 30D15.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the space of all complex polynomials $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ of degree at most n. A famous result known as Bernstein's inequality [5] states if $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1.1)

This result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial having all zeros at the origin. If P(z) has all zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ then it was proved by P. Turan [15] that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1.2)

*Corresponding author

Received 2022-03-25

Email addresses: gulzarmh@gmail.com (M. H. Gulzar), bazargar@gmail.com (B. A. Zargar), rubiaakhter039@gmail.com (Rubia Akhter)

Inequality (1.2) is best possible and equality holds for polynomials which have all zeros on |z| = 1. As a refinement of (1.2) Aziz and Dawood [2] proved that if P(z) has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\}$$
(1.3)

The equality in (1.3) holds for $P(z) = \alpha z^n + \beta$ where $|\beta| \le |\alpha|$.

Inequality (1.2) was generalised by Malik [12] who proved that if P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1.4)

The result is sharp and equality holds for $P(z) = (z + k)^n$.

Inequality (1.4) was generalized by Aziz and Shah [4] by proving that if P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at the origin, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n+ks}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1.5)

The result is sharp and the extremal polynomial is $P(z) = z^s (z+k)^{n-s}, 0 \le s \le n$.

Let $D_{\alpha}P(z)$ be an operator that carries n^{th} degree polynomial P(z) to the polynomial

$$D_{\alpha}P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

of degree at most (n-1). $D_{\alpha}P(z)$ generalizes the ordinary derivative P'(z) in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{D_{\alpha} P(z)}{\alpha} = P'(z)$$

Now corresponding to a given n^{th} degree polynomial P(z), we construct a sequence of polar derivatives

$$D_{\alpha}P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)$$

$$D_{\alpha_k} D_{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots D_{\alpha_1} P(z) = (n-k+1) D_{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots D_{\alpha_1} P(z) + (\alpha_k - z) (D_{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots D_{\alpha_1} P(z))' \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

The points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k, k = 1, 2, ..., n$, may be equal or unequal. Like the k^{th} ordinary derivative $P^{(k)}(z)$ of P(z), the k^{th} polar derivative $D_{\alpha_k} D_{\alpha_{k-1}} ... D_{\alpha_1} P(z)$ of P(z) is a polynomial of degree at most n - k.

As an extension of (1.1) for the polar derivative Aziz and Shah [3] used polar derivative and established that if P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, then for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| > 1$ and for $|z| \ge 1$,

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z)| \le n|\alpha z^{n-1}|\max_{|z|=1}|P(z)|$$
(1.6)

Aziz [1] extended (1.6) to the j^{th} polar derivative and proved that if P(z) is a polynomial of degree n then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \ge 1$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., t(t < n) then for $|z| \ge 1$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \le n(n-1) \dots (n-t+1) |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

W. M. Shah [14] extended (1.2) to the polar derivative and proved that if $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and has all zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then for $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \frac{n(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1.7)

As an extension of (1.7) to the j^{th} polar derivative, Jain [10] proved that if P(z) has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq t < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \ge \frac{n_t}{2^t} \left[A_{\alpha_t} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \left(2^t \prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i| - A_{\alpha_t} \right) \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right].$$
(1.8)

where

$$n_t = n(n-1)...(n-t+1)$$
 and $A_{\alpha_t} = (|\alpha_1| - 1)(|\alpha_2| - 1)...(|\alpha_t| - 1).$ (1.9)

This result is best possible and extremal polynomial is $P(z) = (z-1)^n$ with $\alpha_i \ge 1, 1 \le i \le t < n$.

2. Preliminaries

For the proof of these Theorems, we need the following Lemmas. The first Lemma is due to Laguerre [11].

Lemma 2.1. If all the zeros of an n^{th} degree polynomial P(z) lie in a circular region C and if none of the points $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t$ lie in the region C then each of the polar derivatives $(D_{\alpha_i})_{i=1}^t$, t < n has all its zeros in region C.

Lemma 2.2. Let A and B be any two complex numbers, then

(i) If $|A| \ge |B|$ and $B \ne 0$, then A = vB for all complex numbers v with |v| < 1.

(ii) Conversely, if $A \neq vB$ for all complex number v with |v| < 1, then $|A| \ge |B|$.

Lemma(2.2) is due to Xin Li [16]

Lemma 2.3. If $P(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in |z| < k, $k \ge 1$, then

$$\frac{k|a_1|}{|a_0|} \le r$$

This Lemma is due to Gardner et al. [7]

Lemma 2.4. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \le k$, $k \le 1$, then

$$\frac{|a_{n-1}|}{|a_n|} \le nk.$$

Proof. Since P(z) has all zeros in $|z| \le k$, $k \le 1$, therefore $q(z) = z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)} = \overline{a_n} + \overline{a_{n-1}}z + \ldots + \overline{a_1}z^{n-1} + \overline{a_0}z^n$, is a polynomial of degree at most n, which does not vanish in $|z| < \frac{1}{k}$, $\frac{1}{k} \ge 1$. Apply Lemma 2.3 to q(z), we get the desired result.

Lemma 2.5. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \le k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at the origin then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \ge k$,

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \frac{(|\alpha|-k)(n+ks)}{1+k}|P(z)|$$

where $0 \leq s \leq n$.

The above Lemma is due to Dewan et al. [6]

3. Main Result

The main aim of this paper is to obtain inequalities similar to (1.8) for the polynomial having s-fold zeros at the origin.

Theorem 3.1. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \ge k, 1 \le i \le t < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(3.1)

where $A_{\alpha_t}^k = (|\alpha_1| - k)(|\alpha_2| - k)...(|\alpha_t| - k)$ and $0 \le s \le n$.

Proof. If $|\alpha_j| = k$ for at least one $j, 1 \le j \le t$, then result is trivial. Therefore, we assume that $|\alpha_j| > k$ for all $j; 1 \le j \le t$. We will prove the result by mathematical induction. The result is true for t = 1 by Lemma 2.5 that means if $|\alpha_1| > k$, then

$$|D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \ge \frac{(|\alpha_1| - k)(n + ks)}{1 + k} |P(z)|$$
(3.2)

Now for t = 2, since $D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = (na_n\alpha_1 + a_{n-1})z^{n-1} + ... + (na_0 + \alpha_1a_1)$, and $|\alpha_1| > k$, then $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ will be a polynomial of degree (n-1). If it is not true, then the coefficient of z^{n-1} must be equal to zero, which implies

$$na_n\alpha_1 + a_{n-1} = 0,$$

i.e,

$$|\alpha_1| = \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{n|a_n|}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we get

$$|\alpha_1| = \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{n|a_n|} \le k.$$

But this contradicts the fact that $|\alpha_1| > k$. Hence, the polynomial $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ must be of degree (n-1).

Also P(z) has all zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin, so $P(z) = z^s h(z)$ where h(z) is a polynomial of degree n - s having all zeros in $|z| \leq k \leq 1$. Now $D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = z^s D_{\alpha_1}h(z) + t\alpha_1 z^{s-1}h(z)$. Hence $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n - 1 having all zeros in $|z| \leq k$ with (s - 1) fold zeros at origin. By Lemma 2.5 we have for $|\alpha_2| > k$

$$|D_{\alpha_2}(D_{\alpha_1}P(z))| \ge \frac{[(n-1)+k(s-1)]}{1+k} (|\alpha_2|-k)|D_{\alpha_1}P(z)|.$$
(3.3)

Using (3.2) we have

$$|D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \ge \frac{(n+ks)\left[(n-1)+k(s-1)\right]}{(1+k)^2} (|\alpha_1|-k)(|\alpha_2|-k)|P(z)|.$$
(3.4)

This implies result is true for t = 2. Assume that the result is true for t = q < n; so for |z| = 1, we have

$$|D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \ge \frac{(n+ks)\left[(n-1)+k(s-1)\right]...\left[(n-q+1)+k(s-q+1)\right]}{(1+k)^q} \times (3.5)$$

$$(|\alpha_1|-k)(|\alpha_2|-k)...(|\alpha_q|-k)|P(z)|$$

and we will prove that the result is true for t = q + 1 < n. According to above procedure, one can conclude that $D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ will be a polynomial of degree (n-q) for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \ge k; 1 \le i \le q < n$ and has all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with (s-q) fold zeros at origin. Therefore, for $|\alpha_{q+1}| > k$, by applying Lemma 2.5 to $D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$, we get

$$|D_{\alpha_{q+1}}\left\{D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)\right\}| \ge \frac{[(n-q)+k(s-q)]}{1+k}(|\alpha_{q+1}|-k)|D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)|$$
(3.6)

Using (3.5) in (3.6) we have for |z| = 1

$$\frac{|D_{\alpha_{q+1}}D_{\alpha_q}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \geq}{(n+ks)\left[(n-1)+k(s-1)\right]...\left[(n-q+1)+k(s-q+1)\right]\left[(n-q)+k(s-q)\right]}{(1+k)^{q+1}} \times (3.7)$$

$$\frac{|\alpha_{q+1}|-k|(|\alpha_q|-k)...(|\alpha_2|-k)(|\alpha_1|-k)|P(z)|.}{(1-k)|P(z)|.}$$

This implies result is true for t = q + 1.

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_t = \alpha$, then by dividing both sides of (3.1) by $|\alpha|^t$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.2. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(t)}(z)| \ge \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)]}{(1+k)^t} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

where $0 \leq s \leq n$.

For k = 1, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following result which is generalization of (1.8).

Corollary 3.3. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq t < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}}{2^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + (s-i)] \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

where A_{α_t} is defined in (1.9) and $0 \leq s \leq n$.

Theorem 3.4. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin then for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \ge k, 1 \le i \le t < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + k^{-n} \left\{ n_t \prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i| - \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \right\} m$$

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|, 0 \le s \le n, n_t$ is defined in (1.9) and $A_{\alpha_t}^k$ is defined in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Let $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$. If P(z) has a zero on |z| = k, then m = 0 and the result follows from Theorem 3.1. So we suppose that all the zeros of P(z) lie in |z| < k, with s-fold zeros at origin, so that m > 0. Now $m \le |P(z)|$ for |z| = k. Since all zeros of P(z) lie in |z| < k with s-fold zeros at origin, by Rouche's Theorem all zeros of the polynomial $T(z) = P(z) - \lambda m \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^n$ lie in |z| < k with s-fold zeros at origin with $|\lambda| < 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the polynomial T(z), we get for all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_i| \ge k, 1 \le i \le t < n$ on |z| = 1,

$$|D_{\alpha_t}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}T(z)| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)]|T(z)|.$$

Equivalently,

$$\left| D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} \left(P(z) - \lambda m \left(\frac{z}{k} \right)^n \right) \right| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \\ \left| P(z) - \lambda m \left(\frac{z}{k} \right)^n \right|.$$

Or

$$\left| D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z) - \lambda m n_t \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t \frac{z^{n-t}}{k^n} \right| \geq \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)]$$

$$\left| P(z) - \lambda m \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^n \right|.$$

$$(3.8)$$

By Lemma 2.1, the polynomial $R(z) = D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} T(z) \neq 0$ for |z| > k that is for every λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ and |z| > k, the polynomial $R(z) = D_{\alpha_t} \dots D_{\alpha_2} D_{\alpha_1} P(z) - \lambda m n_t \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t \frac{z^{n-t}}{k^n} \neq 0$. Thus by (*ii*) of Lemma 2.2, we have for |z| > k

$$|D_{\alpha_t}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \ge \frac{m}{k^n} n_t \prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i| |z|^{n-t}$$
(3.9)

Taking a relevant choice of argument of λ in (3.8) which is possible by (3.9) we get

$$\begin{split} |D_{\alpha_t}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| - |\lambda|\frac{m}{k^n}n_t\prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i||z|^{n-t} \geq \\ \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t}\prod_{i=0}^{t-1}[(n-i) + k(s-i)]|P(z)| \\ - |\lambda|\frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t}\prod_{i=0}^{t-1}[(n-i) + k(s-i)]m\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}. \end{split}$$

Which on simplification gives for |z| = 1,

$$|D_{\alpha_t}...D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \ge \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + |\lambda|k^{-n} \left\{ n_t \prod_{i=1}^t |\alpha_i| - \frac{A_{\alpha_t}^k}{(1+k)^t} \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)] \right\} m$$
(3.10)

Making $|\lambda| \to 1$, the desired result follows.

L			
L			

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_t = \alpha$, then by dividing both sides of (3.1) by $|\alpha|^t$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.5. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(t)}(z)| \ge \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)]}{(1+k)^t} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + k^{-n} \left\{ n_t - \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} [(n-i) + k(s-i)]}{(1+k)^t} \right\} m$$

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|, 0 \le s \le n$ and n_t is defined in (1.9).

For t = 1 Theorem 3.4 reduces to the following result which is refinement of a result of Dewan et al [6]. **Corollary 3.6.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \le k, k \le 1$ with s-fold zeros at origin then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \ge k$, we have for |z| = 1

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \frac{(|\alpha|-k)}{(1+k)} (n+ks) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + k^{-n} \left\{ n|\alpha| - \frac{|\alpha|-k}{(1+k)} (n+ks) \right\} m$$

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ and $0 \le s \le n$.

4. Acknowledgement

The research of second and third author is financially supported by NBHM, Government of India, under the research project 02011/36/2017/R&D-II

References

- [1] A. Aziz, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Aprox. Theory, 55 (1998), 183-193. 1
- [2] A. Aziz and Q. M. Dawood, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, J. Approx. Theory, 54 (1998), 306-313.
- [3] A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29 (1998), 163-173.
- [4] A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, Math. Inequal. and Applics., 7 (2004), 379391.
- [5] S. Bernstein, Sur la limitation des derivees des polnomes., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 190 (1930), 338-341. 1
- K. K. Dewan, Abdullah Mir, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 10 (2007), 525-531.
- [7] R. B. Gardner, N. K. Govil, A. Weems, Some results concerning rate of growth of polynomials, East J. Approx. 10 (2004), 301312.
- [8] M. H. Gulzar, B. A. Zargar, Rubia Akhter, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Anal, 28 (2020), 923-929.
- M. H. Gulzar, B. A. Zargar, Rubia Akhter, Some inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, Kragujevac J. Math., 47 (2023), 567-576.
- [10] V. K. Jain, Generalization of an inequality involving maximum moduli of a polynomial and its polar derivative, Bull Math Soc Sci Math Roum Tome. 98 (2007), 6774. 1
- [11] E. Laguerre, Œuvres, 2nd edn, vol. 1. Chelsea, New York, pp. 48-66. 2
- [12] M. A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 57-60. 1
- [13] Q.I.Rahman and G.Schmeisser, Analytic theory of polynomials, 2002, Oxford Science Publications.
- [14] W. M. Shah, A generalization of a theorem of P. Turan, J. RAmanujan Math. Soc., 1 (1996), 29-35. 1
- [15] P. Turan, Über die ableitung von polynomem, Compositio Mathematica, 7 (1939), 89-95. 1
- [16] Xin Li, A comparison inequality for rational functions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 1659-1665. 2