



## Study a semi-linear pseudo-parabolic problem with Neumann and integral conditions by using Galerkin mixed finite element method

Sihem Benamira<sup>a,\*</sup>, Taki-Eddine Oussaeif<sup>b</sup>, Abdalfeteh Bouziani<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics University Mentouri Constantine 1, Algeria.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer science, Oum El Bouaghi University, Algeria

### Abstract

In this paper, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence of generalized solution of a semi-linear pseudo-parabolic problem with Neumann condition and integral boundary condition of first type. The results are by the application of the method based on a priori estimate "energy inequality" and the finite element method based on the Faedo-Galerkin technique.

*Keywords:* Nonlocal conditions, Integral condition, Finite element method, A priori estimates, Non-Classical Space,, pseudo-parabolic problem.

*2010 MSC:* 35A05, 35A07, 35K50, 35Q80.

### 1. Introduction

In the recent years, a new attention has been given to non-linear partial differential equations problem which involve an integral over the spatial domain of a function of the desired solution on the boundary conditions ; see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the following pseudo-parabolic problem with Neumann condition and integral boundary condition of first type. The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we give some notations used through out the paper. Section 3 is devoted to statement of the problem . In section 4 we construct an approximate solution using finite element method. in section 5 we give some a priori estimates. Finally in the section 6, we prove the convergence and we give the existence result where we prove the uniqueness and the continuous dependence of solution.

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [s.benamira@yahoo.fr](mailto:s.benamira@yahoo.fr) (Sihem Benamira), [takieddine.oussaeif@gmail.com](mailto:takieddine.oussaeif@gmail.com), [taki\\_maths@live.fr](mailto:taki_maths@live.fr) (Taki-Eddine Oussaeif), [aefbouziani@yahoo.fr](mailto:aefbouziani@yahoo.fr) (Abdalfeteh Bouziani)

## 2. Notation

Let  $L^2(\Omega)$  be the usual space of square integrable functions ; its scalar product is denoted by  $(.,.)$  and its associated norm by  $\|.\|$ . We denote by  $C_0(\Omega)$  the space of continuous functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We denote by  $B_2^m(\Omega)$  called the Bouziani space, the Hilbert space defined of  $C_0(\Omega)$  for the scalar product

$$(z, w)_{B_2^m(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{S}_x^m z \cdot \mathfrak{S}_x^m w dx, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}_x^m z = \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x - \xi)^{m-1}}{(m - 1)!} z(\xi) d\xi,$$

by the norm of the function  $z$  from  $B_2^m(\Omega)$ , the nonnegative number

$$\|z\|_{B_2^m(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{S}_x^m z)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

then the inequality

$$\|z\|_{B_2^m(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{(\beta - \alpha)^2}{2} \|z\|_{B_2^{m-1}(\Omega)}^2, \quad m \geq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

holds for every  $z \in B_2^{m-1}(\Omega)$ , and the embedding

$$B_2^{m-1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow B_2^m(\Omega), \tag{2.4}$$

is continuous .

*Remark 2.2.* If  $m = 0$ , the space  $B_2^0(\Omega)$  coincides with  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We denote by  $L_0^2(\Omega)$  the space consisting of elements  $z(x)$  of the space  $L^2(\Omega)$  verifying

$$\int_{\Omega} x^k z(x) dx = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1).$$

Let  $X$  be a space with a norm denoted by  $\|.\|_X$

**Definition 2.4.** (i) Denote by  $L^2(I, X)$  the set of all measurable abstract functions  $u(., t)$  from  $I$  into  $X$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(I, X)} = \left( \int_I \|u(., t)\|_X^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) Let  $C(\bar{I}; X)$  be the set of all continuous functions  $u(., t) : \bar{I} \rightarrow X$  with

$$\|u\|_{C(\bar{I}; X)} = \max \|u(., t)\|_X < \infty.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let be  $v : [0, T] \rightarrow H$  be a Bochner integrable function and let  $A \subset [0, T]$ , any measurable subset, so:

i) the function  $\|v(.\)\|_H : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue integrable and we have,

$$\left\| \int_A v(t) dt \right\|_H \leq \int_A \|v(t)\|_H dt, \tag{2.6}$$

ii) for each  $\varphi \in H$ , the function  $(v(.\), \varphi)_H : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue integrable and we have,

$$\left( \int_A v(t) dt, \varphi \right)_H = \int_A (v(t), \varphi)_H dt. \tag{2.7}$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be a linear closed subspace from a Hilbert space  $H$ . So for every  $h \in H$ , there exists a unique  $u \in M$  such that:*

$$\|h - u\|_H = \min_{v \in M} \|h - v\|_H, \tag{2.8}$$

*the element  $u$  is called the orthogonal projection of  $h$  on  $M$  relatively to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and we note  $u = P_M h$ . Furthermore, we have the following Pythagorean relation*

$$\|h\|_H^2 = \|P_M h\|_H^2 + \|h - P_M h\|_H^2. \tag{2.9}$$

**Theorem 2.7** (Cauchy- Schwarz inequality). *Let be  $f$  and  $g$  two functions of  $L^2(\Omega)$  ; so*

$$f \cdot g \in L^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |f \cdot g| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

**Theorem 2.8** (The Cauchy inequality). *Let be  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$|ab| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} b^2.$$

**Lemma 2.9** (Gronwall lemma). *Let  $h(t)$  and  $y(t)$  be two real integrable functions on the interval  $I$ ,  $h(\tau)$  nondecreasing, and  $c$  a positive constant if*

$$y(t) \leq h(t) + c \int_0^t y(\tau) d\tau \quad \forall t \in I,$$

then

$$y(t) \leq h(t) e^{ct} \quad \forall t \in I.$$

**Definition 2.10.** We call a nonlinear differential system the system of the form

$$\dot{X}(t) = F[X(t)] \tag{2.11}$$

$t$  is a real

$$X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_i$  are continuous functions.

**Definition 2.11.** Let be

$$X(t) : \begin{matrix} I \subset \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \\ x & \longrightarrow & x(t) \end{matrix}, \tag{2.12}$$

$X$  is the solution of the system (2.11), if  $X$  is derivable and continuous function, for every each  $t \in I$ ,  $X(t) \in I$  and  $\dot{X}(t) = F(X(t))$ .

**Theorem 2.12** (The unicity of solution). *We suppose that  $F$  is derivable continuous function on  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . So for every each initial condition for  $t_0 \in I$  and  $X_0 \in E$  the solution of the system (2.11) if it exists it is unique.*

**Theorem 2.13** (Local existence of solution). *Let be  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $F$  is derivable continuous on  $X_0$ , it exists  $h > 0$  such that the solution of the system (2.11) verifying  $X(t_0) = X_0$  exists on the interval  $[t_0, t_0 + h]$ .*

**Theorem 2.14** (Global existence of solution). *If  $F$  is derivable continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if the solution of the system (2.11) verifying  $X(0) = X_0$  is bounded on the interval which it exists so the solution exists on  $I = [0, +\infty]$ .*

See artical [22].

### 3. Statement of the problem

Let be the problem

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} - \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right) - (u(x, t))^p = f(x, t), \tag{3.1}$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u^0, \tag{3.2}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, t) = 0 \\ \int_0^1 u(x, t) dx = 0 \end{cases}, \tag{3.3}$$

with  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $T < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Through the paper, we will make the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>) :  $f \in L^2(0, T; B_2^1(0, 1))$ ,

(H<sub>2</sub>) :  $u^0 \in V$  where  $V$  is defined in the following way

$$V = \left\{ v \in L^2(0, 1) : \int_0^1 v(x, t) dx = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(1, t) = 0 \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Consequently  $V$  is a Hilbert space for  $(., .)$ . Moreover for a given function  $w(x, t)$ , the notation  $w(t)$  is used for the same function considered as an abstract function of the variable  $t$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>) :  $f(t, w) \in L^2(0, 1)$  for each  $(t, w) \in I \times L^2(0, 1)$  and the following Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, w) - f(t', w')\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \leq M \left[ |t - t'| \left( 1 + \|w\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \|w'\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \right) + \|w - w'\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \right].$$

**Definition 3.1.** A weak solution of problem (3.1) – (3.3) means a function

$$u : [0, T] \longrightarrow L^2(0, 1)$$

such that

- (i)  $u \in L^2(0, T; B_2^1(0, 1))$ ,
- (ii)  $u$  has a strong derivative  $\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; B_2^1(0, 1))$ ,
- (iii)  $u(0) = u^0$ ,
- (iv) The identity :

$$\left( \frac{du(t)}{\partial t}, v \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha (u(t), v) + \beta \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v \right) - (u^p(x, t), v)_{B_2^1(0,1)} = (f(x, t), v)_{B_2^1(0,1)}.$$

#### 4. Construction of an approximate solution

Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N, \dots$  be a Hilbertian basis of  $V$ , such that we devise  $[\alpha, \beta]$  on  $N + 1$  parts ( $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) and we pose

$$h = \frac{1}{N + 1} \quad , \quad t_i = ih \quad , \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N + 1.$$

We define functions  $(\varphi_i)$  by

$$\varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}}, & x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i, \\ \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i}, & x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}, \\ 0, & \text{ailleurs.} \end{cases}$$

For every each functions  $(\varphi_i)$  are of degree 1 with  $\varphi_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .

Let  $(V_n)$  the subspace from  $V$  generated by the first  $n$  elements of the basis.

We have to find for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the approximate solution which has the following form

$$u_n(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{in}(t) \varphi_i(x), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times [0, T], \tag{4.1}$$

where  $g_{in} \in H^1(0, T)$  are unknown functions for the moment.

As we have that  $u^0 \in V$  and  $V_n$  is a closed subspace from  $V$ , we can define in a unique way  $u_n^0$  by

$$u_n^0 = P_{V_n} u^0, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $P_{V_n}$  is define in lemma (2.1). By the virtue of the density of  $\cup V_n$  in  $V$  it follows that

$$u_n^0 \longrightarrow u^0 \text{ in } V \text{ if } n \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

We note by  $(g_{in}^0)$  the coordinates of  $u_n^0$  in the basis  $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n$  of  $V_n$  that is

$$u_n^0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{in}^0 \varphi_i, \tag{4.4}$$

so, we have to find

$$u_n \in H^1(0, T; V_n) \tag{4.5}$$

solution of the differential system

$$\left( \frac{du_n}{dt}, \varphi_j \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha(u_n, \varphi_j) - \beta \left( \frac{du_n}{dt}, \varphi_j \right) - (u_n^p, \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} = (f(x, t), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$u_n(0) = u_n^0, \tag{4.7}$$

By replacing  $(u_n)$  by (4.1) and by using the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij} &= (\varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(\Omega)} & , & \quad A = (\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \\ B_{ij} &= (\varphi_i, \varphi_j) & , & \quad B = (B_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \\ C_j &= (u_n^p, \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} & , & \quad C = (C_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}, \\ F_j(t) &= (f, \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} & , & \quad \overrightarrow{F}(t) = (F_j(t))_{j=1}^n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{g_n}(t) = (g_{in}(t))_{i=1}^n \quad , \quad \overrightarrow{g_n^0} = (g_{in}^0)_{i=1}^n.$$

The system (4.6) can be written as follows

$$(A - \beta B) \frac{\overrightarrow{dg_n}}{dt} + \alpha B \overrightarrow{g_n} + C \overrightarrow{g_n} = \overrightarrow{F}(t), \tag{4.8}$$

which is a nonlinear differential system.

We easily prove that  $(A - \beta B)$  is regular matrix, and by virtue Definition (2.10), (2.11) and Theorems (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), so the system (4.8) has a unique solution  $\overrightarrow{g_n} \in [H^1(0, T)]^n$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every  $n \geq 1$ , problem (4.5) – (4.8) has a unique solution  $u_n \in H^1(0, T; V_n)$  which has the form (4.1).*

### 5. A-priori estimates for approximations

**Lemma 5.1.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  functions  $u_n \in H^1(0, T; V_n)$  solutions of (4.6) verify*

$$\int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau \leq KT, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \leq \frac{L}{\beta}, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $K$  and  $L$  are two positive constants.

*Proof.* Multiplying the integral identity (4.6) by  $g_{jn}(t)$  and summing up for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and integrating the resulting over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau + \frac{\beta}{2} \|u_n\|^2 \\ &= \int_0^t (f, u_n)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + \int_0^t (u_n^p, u_n)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|u_n^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|u_n^0\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We have

$$\|u_n^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq \|u^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2, \tag{5.4}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau + \beta \|u_n\|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^t (f, u_n)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + 2 \int_0^t (u_n^p, u_n)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

hence, thanks to the Cauchy inequality (5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau + \beta \|u_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

but we have

$$\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + (2\alpha - 1) \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau + \beta \|u_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau &= \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1} \cdot u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

substituting (5.8) in (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \left(2\alpha - \frac{5}{4}\right) \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau &= \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2} \cdot u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Since (5.10) so (5.9) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \left(2\alpha - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

after  $p$  iteration we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \left(2\alpha - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|(u_n)^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \left(2\alpha - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau + \beta \|u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \frac{T}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Let be

$$K = \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) \|u^0\|^2 + \frac{T}{2}, \tag{5.14}$$

we get

$$\|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq K, \tag{5.15}$$

so,

$$\int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq KT$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|u_n\|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{K}{2\alpha - 1 - \frac{p}{2}}, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{K}{\beta}$$

on the other hand multiplying (4.6) by  $\frac{dg_{jn}}{dt}$  and sum up for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_n\|^2 + \beta \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left( f, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \left( u_n^p, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

integrating (5.17) over  $(0, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \alpha \|u_n\|^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 d\tau \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \left( f, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \left( u_n^p, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + \alpha \|u_n^0\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

by reference by the inequality (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \alpha \|u_n\|^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 d\tau \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \left( f, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \left( u_n^p, \frac{du_n}{dt} \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau + \alpha \|u^0\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

applying the Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \|u_n\|^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \alpha \|u^0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

but we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau &= \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1} \cdot u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-1}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2}KT \quad \text{see equation (5.15)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2} \cdot u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2}KT \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \right] + \frac{1}{2}KT \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u_n^{p-2}\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot KT + \frac{1}{2}KT, \end{aligned}$$

after  $p$  iteration we get

$$\int_0^t \|u_n^p\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \leq T \left( \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \|u_0\|^2 + K \left( \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right), \tag{5.21}$$



substituting (5.21) in (5.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \|u_n\|^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \alpha \|u^0\|^2 + T \left( \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \|(u)^0\|^2 + K \left( \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Let be

$$L = \int_0^t \|f\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau + \alpha \|u^0\|^2 + T \left( \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} + K \left( \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right), \tag{5.23}$$

so we have

$$\int_0^t \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} \right\|^2 d\tau \leq \frac{L}{2\beta}. \tag{5.24}$$

□

### 6. Convergence and existence result

**Theorem 6.1.** *There exist a function  $u \in L^2(0, T; V)$  with*

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; B_2^1(0, 1)),$$

and a subsequence  $(u_{n_k})_k \subseteq (u_n)_n$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; V), \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\frac{du_{n_k}}{dt} \rightharpoonup \frac{du}{dt} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; B_2^1(0, 1)), \tag{6.2}$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* See article [3].

□

**Theorem 6.2.** *The limit function  $u$  from Theorem (6.1) is the unique weak solution to problem (3.1) – (3.3) in the sense of definition (3.1).*

*Proof.* One: Existence. We have to show that the limit function  $u$  satisfies all conditions (i) – (iv) of definition (3.1). Obviously, in light of properties of function  $u$  the first two conditions are already seen. On the other hand, from  $u(t) = u^0 + \int_0^t \Psi(s) ds$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , written in the proof of Theorem (6.1), we have directly  $u(0) = u^0$ , so the initial condition is also fulfilled, now we have to see that integral identity obeyed by  $u$ , for this, writing (4.6) for  $n = n_k$  and integrating on  $[0, t]$ , it comes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial u_{n_k}(s)}{\partial s}, \varphi_j \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds + \alpha \int_0^t (u_{n_k}(s), \varphi_j) ds \\ & + \beta \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial u_{n_k}(s)}{\partial s}, \varphi_j \right) ds - \int_0^t (u_{n_k}^p(s), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds \\ & = \int_0^t (f(x, s), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds; \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad j = 1, \dots, n_k. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

By performing a limit process  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (6.3), we get owing (6.1) and (6.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial s}, \varphi_j \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds + \alpha \int_0^t (u(s), \varphi_j) ds \\ & - \int_0^t (u^p(s), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds \\ & + \beta \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial s}, \varphi_j \right) ds \\ & = \int_0^t (f(x, s), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} ds; \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad j = 1, \dots, n_k. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Differentiating this latter with respect to  $t$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t}, \varphi_j \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha(u(t), \varphi_j) + \beta \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t}, \varphi_j \right) \\ & - (u^p(t), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} \\ & = (f(x, t), \varphi_j)_{B_2^1(0,1)} \quad \forall t \in [0, T], j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

From where (iv) is obtained due the density of  $\cup_n V_n$  in  $V$ . Thus,  $u$  weakly solves problem (3.1) – (3.2).  
Two : Uniqueness . Writing the problem (3.1) – (3.3) in the form

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} - \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = f(x, t, u(x, t)), \tag{6.6}$$

which

$$f(x, t, u(x, t)) = (u(x, t))^p + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right) + f(x, t). \tag{6.7}$$

Let us  $(\tilde{u}, \hat{u})$  two weak solutions of (6.6) we get

$$\left( \frac{d\tilde{u}(t)}{dt}, v \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha(\tilde{u}(t), v) = (f(\tilde{u}, x, t), v)_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\left( \frac{d\hat{u}(t)}{dt}, v \right)_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha(\hat{u}(t), v) = (f(\hat{u}, x, t), v)_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \tag{6.9}$$

subtracting the identity (6.9) from (6.8) we get for  $v = \hat{u} - \tilde{u}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\hat{u} - \tilde{u})t\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} + \alpha \|(\hat{u} - \tilde{u})t\| = f(t, \hat{u})_{B_2^1(0,1)} - f(t, \tilde{u})_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \tag{6.10}$$

integrating (6.10) and putting  $u(t) = \hat{u} - \tilde{u}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau &= 2 \int_0^t (f(\tau, \hat{u}) - f(\tau, \tilde{u}), u)_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau, \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|f(\tau, \hat{u}) - f(\tau, \tilde{u})\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \cdot \|u(\tau)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau, \\ &\leq 2M \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

From where Gronwalls lemma yields  $\|u(\tau)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 = 0 \implies \hat{u} = \tilde{u}$ ; So, we have the uniqueness of the solution. □

**Proposition 6.3.** *The sequence  $(u_n)_n$  totally converges to  $u$  in  $L^2(0, T; V)$ .*

*Proof.* The key point is to reason by absurdity, so we suppose that  $(u_n)$  is not converging to  $u$  in  $L^2(0, T; V)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \varepsilon \geq 0, \exists v \in L^2(0, T; V), \exists (u_\xi)_\xi \subset (u_n)_n : \\ \left| \int_0^T (u_\xi(t) - u(t), v(t)) dt \right| \geq \varepsilon, \forall v, \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

but  $(u_\xi)_\xi$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; V)$ , consequently we can construct a subsequence  $(u_{\xi_j})$  which weakly converges in  $L^2(0, T; V)$  towards a certain element  $w \in L^2(0, T; V)$ , and while reasoning exactly as for the function  $u$  from the theorem (6.1), we prove that  $w$  is another solution for the problem (3.1) – (3.3), which implies, taking into account uniqueness in the problem in question, that  $w$  is none other than  $u$ , so

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T (u_\xi(t) - u(t), v(t)) dt = 0,$$

which is in contradiction with (6.12), thus

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; V)$$

□

**Theorem 6.4.** Let be  $u^0, u_*^0 \in V$ ,  $f, f_* \in L^2(O, T; B_2^1(0, 1))$ , and let  $u$  and  $u_*$  be the corresponding weak solutions satisfying assumptions  $(H_1) - (H_3)$ , if the following inequality

$$\|f(t, v) - f_*(t, w)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \leq a(t) + b\|v - w\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}, \quad \forall t \in I, \forall v, w \in V, \quad (6.13)$$

holds for some continuous nonnegative  $a(t) \in I$  and some constant  $b \geq 0$  we have the estimate

$$\|u - u_*\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \leq \left( \|u^0 - u_*^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + \int_0^t a^2(\tau) d\tau \right) e^{(2b+1)t}. \quad (6.14)$$

*Proof.* We take the difference identities (6.8) – (6.9) corresponding to  $u, u_*$  and  $f, f_*$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - u_*\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_*(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|u^0 - u_*^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 \\ & + 2 \int \|f(\tau, u) - f_*(\tau, u_*)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} \cdot \|u(\tau) - u_*(\tau)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

applying the elementary algebraic inequality

$$2\alpha\beta \leq \alpha^2 + \beta^2; \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

to the second term in the right hand side, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - u_*\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_*(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|u^0 - u_*^0\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2, \\ & + \int_0^t a^2(\tau) d\tau + (2b + 1) \int_0^1 \|u(\tau) - u_*(\tau)\|_{B_2^1(0,1)}^2 d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

from which the estimate (6.14) follows by means of Gromwell's lemma.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A.Bouziani, On a class of nonlinear reaction-Diffusion systems with nonlocal boundary conditions, A. Analysis, 2004, 9( 2004) 793-813. [1](#)
- [2] A.Bouziani, N.Merazga, S.Benamira, Galerkin method applied to a parabolic evolution problem with nonlocal boundary conditions, Nonlinear Analysis, 69(2008), 1515-1524 [1](#)
- [3] N.Boudiba, Existence global pour des systems de réaction-diffusion avec controle de masse, ph.D.thesis, Université de Rennes, France, 1999. [1](#)
- [4] A. Bouziani, N.Merazga, On a time-discretisation method for a semilinear heat equation with purely integral condition in a nonclassical function, Nonlinear Analysis, 66(2007), 604–623. [1](#)
- [5] A.Bouziani, Mixed problem with boundary integral conditions for a certain parabolic equation, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic.Anal., 9(1996), 323-330. [1](#)
- [6] A.Bouziani Mixed problems with boundary integral conditions for certain partial differential equations, Ph.D. thesis, Constantine. [1](#)
- [7] A.Bouziani, on a class of parabolic equation with a non local boundary conditions , Acad. Roy.Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci., 30(1-6)(2002), 61–77. [1](#)
- [8] A.Bouziani, On the solvability of parabolic and hyperbolic problems with a boundary integral condition, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.,31(4) (2002), 201-213. [1](#)
- [9] M.Deaghan, A finite difference method for a non-local boundary value problem for two dimensional heat equation, Appl. Math. Compute., 112(1) (2000), 133-142. [1](#)
- [10] M.Deaghan, Fully explicit finite-difference emethod for two-dimensional diffusion with an integral condition, nonlinear Anal., 48(5) ( 2002), 637–650. [1](#)
- [11] M.Deaghan, Fully explicit finite-difference methods for two-dimensional diffusion with an integral condition, Nonlinear Anal., 48(5) (2002), 637-650. [1](#)
- [12] S.L.Hollis, R.H.Martin Jr, and M.pierre, Global existence and boudeness in reaction-diffusion systems, Siam J.Math.Anal., 18(3) (1987), 744-761. [1](#)
- [13] S.L.Hollis and J.Morgan, Interior estimates for a class of reaction-diffusion systems from  $L^1$  a priori estimates in Mathematics, vol; 80,BSBB.G.Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft,Leipzig, 1985. [1](#)

- 
- [14] R.H.Martin Jr. and M.Pierre, Nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems, Nonlinear Equations in the applied sciences ( W.F.Ames, C.Rogers, and Kapell, eds) , Math, sci.Engrg, vol.185, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1992, 363-398. [1](#)
  - [15] T. E Oussaeif, A Bouziani, Solvability of nonlinear viscosity Equation with a boundary integral condition, J. Nonlinear Evol. Equ. Appl., 3 (2015), 31-45. [1](#)
  - [16] T. E Oussaeif, A Bouziani; Solvability of Nonlinear Goursat Type Problem for Hyperbolic Equation with Integral Condition; Khayyam J. Math., 4(2), 2012, 198-213. [1](#)
  - [17] T. E Oussaeif, A Bouziani, A priori estimates for weak solution for a time-fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations with an integral condition; Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 103 (2017), 79–89. [1](#)
  - [18] S. Dhelis, A Bouziani, T. E Oussaeif, Study of Solution for a Parabolic Integrodifferential Equation with the Second Kind Integral Condition, Int. J. Anal. Appl., 16(4) (2018), 569–593. [1](#)
  - [19] B.Nur-eddin and N.I.Yurchuk, A mixed problem with an integral condition for a parabolic equations with a Bessel operator, Differ. Uravn., 27(12) (1991), 2094-2098. [1](#)
  - [20] C.V.Pao, Dynamics of reaction-diffusion equations with nonlocal boundary conditions, Quart Appl. Math., 53(1) ( 1995), 173-186. [1](#)
  - [21] C.V.Pao, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of reaction-diffusion equations with nonlocal boundary conditions, J. Comput. Appl.Math., 88(1) (1998), 225-238. [1](#)
  - [22] C.V.Pao, Numerical solutions of reaction-diffusion equations with nonlocal boundary conditions, J.Comput.Appl.Math., 136(1-2) (2001), 227-243. [1](#)
  - [23] N.I.Yurchuk, A mixed problem with an integral condition for some parabolic equations, Differ. Urvan., 22(12) ( 1986), 21117-2126.
  - [24] Françoise Truc Systemes differentiels non lineaires October 2010.